

Fekete–Szegő Problem for a New Class of Analytic Functions Defined by Using a Generalized Differential Operator

M. K. AOUF¹, R. M. EL-ASHWAH², A. A. M. HASSAN^{3a},
A. H. HASSAN^{3b}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
Mansoura 33516, Egypt
e-mail: mkaouf127@yahoo.com*

²*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University
New Damietta 34517, Egypt
e-mail: r_elashwah@yahoo.com*

³*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University
Zagazig 44519, Egypt
^ae-mail: aam_hassan@yahoo.com
^be-mail: alaahassan1986@yahoo.com*

(Received November 24, 2012)

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain Fekete–Szegő inequalities for a generalized class of analytic functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z))'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right)$ ($\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$; $\beta > \alpha$; $\lambda > \delta$; $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$; $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $z \in U$) lies in a region starlike with respect to 1 and is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

Key words: analytic, subordination, Fekete–Szegő problem

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Further let S denote the family of functions of the form (1.1) which are univalent in U .

A classical theorem of Fekete–Szegő [7] states that, for $f(z) \in S$ given by (1.1) that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu, & \text{if } \mu \leq 0, \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1-\mu}\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \\ 4\mu - 3, & \text{if } \mu \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The result is sharp.

Given two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, which are analytic in U with $f(0) = g(0)$, the function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in U if there exists a function $w(z)$, analytic in U , such that $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) and $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$ in U (see [13]).

Let $\varphi(z)$ be an analytic function with positive real part on U , which satisfies $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$, and which maps the unit disc U onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $S^*(\varphi)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in S$ for which

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (1.3)$$

and $C(\varphi)$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in S$ for which

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.4)$$

The classes of $S^*(\varphi)$ and $C(\varphi)$ were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [12]. The familiar class $S^*(\alpha)$ of starlike functions of order α and the class $C(\alpha)$ of convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) are the special cases of $S^*(\varphi)$ and $C(\varphi)$, respectively, when

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

Ma and Minda [12] have obtained the Fekete–Szegő problem for the functions in the class $C(\varphi)$. For a function $f(z) \in S$, Ramadan and Darus [18] introduced the generalized differential operator $D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n$ as following:

$$D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^1 f(z) &= [1 - (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)]f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)zf'(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k - 1) + 1]a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) = D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^1 \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n-1} f(z) \right),$$

$$D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k - 1) + 1]^n a_k z^k, \quad (1.5)$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \delta \geq 0; \beta > \alpha; \lambda > \delta; n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

Remark 1 (i) Taking $\alpha = 0$, then operator $D_{0,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n = D_{\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n$, was introduced and studied by Darus and Ibrahim [6];

(ii) Taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, then operator $D_{0,1,\lambda,0}^n = D_{\lambda}^n$, was introduced and studied by Al-Oboudi [1];

(iii) Taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$ and $\lambda = \beta = 1$, then operator $D_{0,1,1,0}^n = D^n$, was introduced and studied by Salagean [20].

Using the generalized operator $D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n$ we introduce a new class of analytic functions as following:

Definition 1 For $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, the class $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{m,b}(\varphi)$ consists of all functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the following subordination:

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \varphi(z), \quad (1.6)$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \beta > \alpha; \lambda > \delta; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U).$$

Specializing the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, b$ and $\varphi(z)$, we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

- (i) $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{m,1}(\varphi) = M_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n(\varphi)$ (see Ramadan and Darus [18]);
- (ii) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{n,b}(\varphi) = H_{n,b}(\varphi)$ (see Aouf and Silverman [4]);
- (iii) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{0,b}(\varphi) = S_b^*(\varphi)$ and $G_{0,1,1,0}^{1,b}(\varphi) = C_b(\varphi)$
(see Ravichandran et al. [19]);
- (iv) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{m,b} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S^n(b)$ (see Aouf et al. [2]);
- (v) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{0,b} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S(b)$ (see Nasr and Aouf [17] see also Aouf et al. [3]);
- (vi) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{1,b} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = C(b)$ (see Nasr and Aouf [14] see also Aouf et al. [3]);
- (vii) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{0,(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S^\eta(\rho)$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \rho < 1$)
(see Libera [10] see also Keogh and Merkes [9]);
- (viii) $G_{0,1,1,0}^{1,(1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = C^\eta(\rho)$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \rho < 1$) (see Chichra [5]).

Also we note that for additional choices of parameters we have the following new subclasses of \mathcal{A} :

(i)

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b} \left(\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = S_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(A,B)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right.$$

$$\left. (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \beta > \alpha; \lambda > \delta; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\};$$

(ii)

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b} \left(\frac{1+(1-2\rho)z}{1-z} \right) = S_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(\rho)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > \rho \right.$$

$$\left. (\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \beta > \alpha; \lambda > \delta; 0 \leq \rho < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\};$$

(iii)

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,(1-\rho)\cos\eta e^{-i\eta}}(\varphi) = S_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,\rho,\eta}(\varphi)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}: \frac{e^{i\eta} z \frac{(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n f(z)} - \rho \cos \eta - i \sin \eta}{(1-\rho)\cos\eta} \prec \varphi(z) \right.$$

$$\left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0; \beta > \alpha; \lambda > \delta; 0 \leq \rho < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\}.$$

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete–Szegő inequalities for functions in the class $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(\varphi)$.

2 Fekete–Szegő problem

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the remainder of this paper that $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $\beta > \alpha$, $\lambda > \delta$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $z \in U$.

To prove our results, we shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 [12] *If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($z \in U$) is a function with positive real part in U and μ is a complex number, then*

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\mu - 1|\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2 [12] *If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part in U , then*

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 1$, the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_1(z)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1).$$

Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \nu < 1$:

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}),$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad (\frac{1}{2} < \nu < 1).$$

Using Lemma 1, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$, where $\varphi(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(\varphi)$ and if μ is a complex number, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|B_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu \right) bB_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof If $f(z) \in G_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, b}(\varphi)$, then there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ which is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in U and such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(w(z)). \quad (2.4)$$

Define the function $p_1(z)$ by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function, we see that $\operatorname{Re} \{p_1(z)\} > 0$ and $p_1(0) = 1$. Define the function $p(z)$ by:

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

In view of the equations (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \varphi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots}{2 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots} \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{1}{2} c_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Thus

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) &= 1 + \left\{ \frac{1}{b} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n a_2 \right\} z \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{b} \left(2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n a_3 - [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} a_2^2 \right) \right\} z^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then from (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{b B_1 c_1}{2 [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{b B_1 c_2}{4 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} + \frac{c_1^2}{8 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} [b^2 B_1^2 - b(B_1 - B_2)]. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{bB_1}{4[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2], \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1 \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1. The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(z^2), \quad (2.13)$$

or

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z \left(D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z) \right)'}{D_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n f(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(z). \quad (2.14)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Remark 2 (i) Taking $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we improve the result obtained by Ravichandran et al. [19, Theorem 4.1];

(ii) Taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda = 1$, $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$) and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ (equivalently $B_1 = B_2 = 2$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Goyal and Kumar [8, Corollary 2.10];

(iii) Taking $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$), $n = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Keogh and Merkes [9, Thm 1];

(iv) Taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$ and $\beta = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf and Silverman [4, Theorem 1].

Also by specializing the parameters in Theorem 1, we obtain the following new sharp results.

Putting $b = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^n(\varphi)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{B_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \\ &\times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu \right) B_1 \right| \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1-Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) (or equivalently, $B_1 = A - B$ and $B_2 = -B(A - B)$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, b}(A, B)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B) |b|}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \\ \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \left(1 - \frac{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu \right) (A - B) b - B \right| \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+(1-2\rho)z}{1-z}$ ($0 \leq \rho < 1$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, b}(\rho)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1 - \rho) |b|}{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \\ \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| 2 \left(1 - \frac{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu \right) (1 - \rho) b + 1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 4 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, \rho, \eta}(\varphi)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1 (1 - \rho) \cos \eta}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \\ \times \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} e^{i\eta} + \left(1 - \frac{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu \right) (1 - \rho) B_1 \cos \eta \right| \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha = \delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda = 1$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Aouf et al. [2, Theorem 3, with $m = 1$]:

Corollary 5 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S^n(b)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|}{3^n} \max \left\{ 1, \left| 1 + 2 \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{3}{4} \right)^n \mu \right) b \right| \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $n = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of and Nasr and Aouf [17, Theorem 2] see also Nasr and Aouf [16, Theorem 1, with $m = 1$]:

Corollary 6 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S(b)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |b| \max \{1, |1 + 2(1 - 2\mu)b|\}. \quad (2.20)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha = \delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda = 1$, $n = 1$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Nasr and Aouf [15, Theorem 1, with $m = 1$] see also Nasr and Aouf [14]:

Corollary 7 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C(b)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} |b| \max \{1, |1 + 2(1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu)b|\}. \quad (2.21)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha = \delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda = 1$, $n = 0$, $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$) and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Keogh and Merkes [9, Theorem 1]:

Corollary 8 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S^\eta(\rho)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq (1 - \rho) \cos \eta \max \{1, |2(2\mu - 1)(1 - \rho) \cos \eta - e^{i\eta}|\}. \quad (2.22)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\alpha = \delta = 0$, $\beta = \lambda = 1$, $n = 1$, $b = (1 - \rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$) and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Libera and M. Ziegler [11, Lemma 1, with $\rho = 0$] see also Chichra [5]:

Corollary 9 *If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C^\eta(\rho)$, then for any complex number μ , we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3} (1 - \rho) \cos \eta \max \{1, |2(\frac{3}{2}\mu - 1)(1 - \rho) \cos \eta - e^{i\eta}|\}. \quad (2.23)$$

The result is sharp.

Using Lemma 2, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2 Let $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$ ($b > 0$; $B_i > 0$; $i \in \mathbb{N}$). Also let

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} (B_2 - B_1 + bB_1^2)}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1^2},$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} (B_2 + B_1 + bB_1^2)}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1^2}.$$

If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to the class $G_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, b}(\varphi)$, then we have the following sharp results:

(i) If $\mu \leq \sigma_1$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{b}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \times \left\{ B_2 - \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1^2 \right\}. \quad (2.24)$$

(ii) If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{bB_1}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}. \quad (2.25)$$

(iii) If $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{b}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \times \left\{ -B_2 + \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1^2 \right\}. \quad (2.26)$$

Proof For $f(z) \in G_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^{n, b}(\varphi)$, $p(z)$ given by (2.6) and $p_1(z)$ given by (2.5), then a_2 and a_3 are given as same as in Theorem 1. Also

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{bB_1}{4 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2], \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1 \right]. \quad (2.28)$$

First, if $\mu \leq \sigma_1$, then we have $\nu \leq 0$, then by applying Lemma 2 to equality (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{b}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \left\{ B_2 - \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is evidently inequality (2.24) of Theorem 2.

If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then we have $\nu = 0$, therefore equality holds if and only if

$$p_1(z) = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; z \in U).$$

Next, if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, we note that

$$\max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{2[2(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^n}{[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1 \right] \right\} \leq 1, \quad (2.29)$$

then applying Lemma 2 to equality (2.27), we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{bB_1}{2[2(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^n},$$

which is evidently inequality (2.25) of Theorem 2.

If $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then we have

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}.$$

Finally, If $\mu \geq \sigma_2$, then we have $\nu \geq 1$, therefore by applying Lemma 2 to (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{b}{2[2(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^n} \left\{ -B_2 + \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^n}{[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is evidently inequality (2.26) of Theorem 2.

If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then we have $\nu = 1$, therefore equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p_1(z)} = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; z \in U).$$

To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions K_φ^s ($s \geq 2$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n K_\varphi^s(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n K_\varphi^s(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi(z^{s-1}), \quad K_\varphi^s(0) = 0 = K_\varphi^s(0) - 1, \quad (2.30)$$

and the functions F_t and G_t ($0 \leq t \leq 1$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n F_t(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n F_t(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(\frac{z(z+t)}{1+tz} \right), \quad F_t(0) = 0 = F_t'(0) - 1, \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{z(D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n G_t(z))'}{D_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^n G_t(z)} - 1 \right) = \varphi \left(-\frac{z(z+t)}{1+tz} \right), \quad G_t(0) = 0 = G_t'(0) - 1. \quad (2.32)$$

Clearly the functions K_φ^s, F_t and $G_t \in G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(\varphi)$. Also we write $K_\varphi = K_\varphi^2$.

If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is K_φ or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if f is K_φ^3 or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is F_t or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is G_t or one of its rotations. \square

Remark 3 (i) Taking $b = 1$ in Theorem 2, we improve the result obtained by Ramadan and Darus [18, Theorem 1];

(ii) Taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$ and $\beta = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Goyal and Kumar [8, Corollary 2.7] and Aouf and Silverman [4, Theorem 2].

Also, using Lemma 2 we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3 For $\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$ ($b > 0$; $B_i > 0$; $i \in \mathbb{N}$) and $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\delta}^{n,b}(\varphi)$ and $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then in view of Lemma 2, Theorem 2 can be improved. Let

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} (B_2 + bB_1^2)}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1^2},$$

(i) If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1} \\ & \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} - 1 \right) bB_1 \right\} |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{bB_1}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

(ii) If $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1} \\ & \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(2 \frac{[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} \mu - 1 \right) bB_1 \right\} |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{bB_1}{2 [2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

Proof For the values of $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1) |a_2|^2 &= \frac{bB_1}{4[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \\ + \left(\mu - \frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} (B_2 - B_1 + bB_1^2)}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1^2} \right) &\frac{b^2 B_1^2}{4[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} |c_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{bB_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Now apply Lemma 2 to equality (2.35), then we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\mu - \sigma_1) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n},$$

which is evidently inequality (2.33) of Theorem 3.

Next, for the values of $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu) |a_2|^2 &= \frac{bB_1}{4[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \\ + \left(\frac{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n} (B_2 + B_1 + bB_1^2)}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n bB_1^2} - \mu \right) &\frac{b^2 B_1^2}{4[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2n}} |c_1|^2 \\ &= \frac{bB_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

Now apply Lemma 2 to equality (2.36), then we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + (\sigma_2 - \mu) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^n},$$

which is evidently inequality (2.34). This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Remark 4 (i) taking $\alpha = \delta = 0$ and $\beta = \lambda = 1$ in Theorem 3, we improve the result obtained by Goyal and Kumar [8, Remark 2.8];

(ii) taking $b = 1$ in Theorem 3, we improve the result obtained by Ramadan and Darus [18, Remark 2].

Acknowledgements The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions which led to improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] Al-Oboudi, F. M.: *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **27** (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] Aouf, M. K., Darwish, H. E., Attiya, A. A.: *On a class of certain analytic functions of complex order*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32**, 10 (2001), 1443–1452.

- [3] Aouf, M. K., Owa, S., Obradović, M.: *Certain classes of analytic functions of complex order and type beta*. Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **11**, 4 (1991), 691–714.
- [4] Aouf, M. K., Silverman, H.: *Fekete-Szegő inequality for n -starlike functions of complex order*. Adv. Math. Sci. J. (2008), 1–12.
- [5] Chichra, P. N.: *Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is α -spirallike*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 151–160.
- [6] Darus, M., Ibrahim, R. W.: *On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order*. Far East J. Math. Sci. **33**, 3 (2009), 299–308.
- [7] Fekete, M., Szegő, G.: *Eine bemerkung uber ungerade schlichte funktionen*. J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1933), 85–89.
- [8] Goyal, S. P., Kumar, S.: *Fekete-Szegő problem for a class of complex order related to Salagean operator*. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **3**, 4 (2011), 240–246.
- [9] Keogh, F. R., Merkes, E. P.: *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20**, 1 (1969), 8–12.
- [10] Libera, R. J.: *Univalent α -spiral functions*. Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 449–456.
- [11] Libera, R. J., Ziegler, M.: *Regular functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is α -spiral*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1972), 361–370.
- [12] Ma, W., Minda, D.: *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*. In: Li Z., Ren F., Lang L., Zhang S. (eds.): Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, *Int. Press. Conf. Proc. Lect. Notes Anal.* Tianjin, China, **1** (1994), 157–169.
- [13] Miller, S. S., Mocanu, P. T.: *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*. Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. **255**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [14] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K.: *On convex functions of complex order*. Bull. Fac. Sci. Mansoura Univ. **9** (1982), 565–582.
- [15] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K.: *Bounded convex functions of complex order*. Bull. Fac. Sci. Mansoura Univ. **10** (1983), 513–527.
- [16] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K.: *Bounded starlike functions of complex order*. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **92** (1983), 97–102.
- [17] Nasr, M. A., Aouf, M. K.: *Starlike function of complex order*. J. Natur. Sci. Math. **25** (1985), 1–12.
- [18] Ramadan, S. F., Darus, M.: *On the Fekete Szegő inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator*. Acta Univ. Apulensis **26** (2011), 167–178.
- [19] Ravichandran, V., Polatoglu, Y., Bolcal, M., Sen, A.: *Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order*. Hacettepe J. Math. Stat. **34** (2005), 9–15.
- [20] Salagean, G. S.: *Subclasses of univalent functions*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1013** (1983), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 362–372.