Stability and Boundedness of Solutions of a Certain System of Third-order Nonlinear Delay Differential Equations

M. O. OMEIKE

Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria e-mail: moomeike@yahoo.com

(Received July 26, 2014)

Abstract

In this paper a number of known results on the stability and boundedness of solutions of some scalar third-order nonlinear delay differential equations are extended to some vector third-order nonlinear delay differential equations.

Key words: Lyapunov functional, third-order vector delay differential equation, boundedness, stability.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K20

1 Introduction

The delay differential equation considered here is of the form

$$\ddot{X} + A\ddot{X} + B\dot{X} + H(X(t - r(t))) = P(t),$$
(1.1)

in which $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $P \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, A and B are real $n \times n$ constant matrices, $0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma$, γ is a positive constant which will be determined later, and the dots indicate differentiation with respect to t. The equation is the vector version for the system of real third-order delay differential equations

$$\ddot{x}_i + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \ddot{x}_k + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} \dot{x}_k + h_i (x_1(t-r(t)), x_2(t-r(t)), \dots, x_n(t-r(t))) = p_i(t),$$

i = 1, 2, ..., n, in which a_{ik}, b_{ik} are constants. It will be assumed as basic throughout what follows that $H \in \mathcal{C}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ are such that solutions of (1.1) exist corresponding to any pre-assigned initial conditions. Here, $\mathcal{C}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the family of all functions once continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ is the family of all functions continuous on \mathbb{R} .

The study of (1.1) is concerned primarily with the problems of stability and boundedness of solutions of (1.1).

In the case n = 1, these problems have been investigated (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 14]) for a general scalar delay differential equation of the form

$$\ddot{x} + a\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + h(x(t - r(t))) = p(t)$$

(a, b constants). Their investigation shows that the stability and the ultimate boundedness of solutions can be established if h'(x) is bounded and if a, b, h(x) satisfy some suitable generalization of the Routh–Hurwitz conditions

$$a > 0, \quad b > 0, \quad ab - c > 0$$

for the asymptotic stability of the solution x = 0 of the linear system

$$\ddot{x} + a\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + cx = 0$$

with constant coefficients. The object of the present paper is to provide analogous results for *n*-dimensional equation (1.1) following the arguments used in some of the papers mentioned above.

Notation and definitions

Given any X, Y in \mathbb{R}^n the symbol $\langle X, Y \rangle$ will be used to denote the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n , that is $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$; thus $||X||^2 = \langle X, X \rangle$. The matrix A is said to be positive definite when $\langle AX, X \rangle > 0$ for all nonzero X in \mathbb{R}^n .

The following notations (see [5, 15]) will be useful in subsequent sections. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, |x| is the norm of x. For a given r > 0, $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$C(t_1) = \{\phi \colon [t_1 - r, t_1] \to \mathbb{R}^n / \phi \text{ is continuous} \}.$$

In particular, C = C(0) denotes the space of continuous functions mapping the interval [-r, 0] into \mathbb{R}^n and for $\phi \in C$, $\|\phi\| = \sup_{-r \leq \theta \leq 0} |\phi(0)|$. $C_{\mathbf{H}}$ will denote the set of ϕ such that $\|\phi\| \leq \mathbf{H}$. For any continuous function x(u) defined on $-h \leq u < A$, A > 0, and any fixed t, $0 \leq t < A$, the symbol x_t will denote the restriction of x(u) to the interval [t-r,t], that is, x_t is an element of C defined by $x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), -r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

2 Some preliminary results

In this section, we shall state the algebraic results required in the proofs of our main results. The proofs are not given since they are found in [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13].

Lemma 2.1 [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13] Let D be a real symmetric positive definite $n \times n$ matrix, then for any X in \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\delta_d \|X\|^2 \le \langle DX, X \rangle \le \Delta_d \|X\|^2$$

where δ_d, Δ_d are the least and the greatest eigenvalues of D, respectively.

Lemma 2.2 [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13] Let Q, D be any two real $n \times n$ commuting symmetric matrices. Then

(i) the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(QD)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) of the product matrix QD are all real and satisfy

$$\min_{1 \le j,k \le n} \lambda_j(Q) \lambda_k(D) \le \lambda_i(QD) \le \max_{1 \le j,k \le n} \lambda_j(Q) \lambda_k(D);$$

(ii) the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(Q+D)(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$ of the sum of matrices Q and D are real and satisfy

$$\{\min_{1\leq j\leq n}\lambda_j(Q) + \min_{1\leq k\leq n}\lambda_k(D)\} \leq \lambda_i(Q+D) \leq \{\max_{1\leq j\leq n}\lambda_j(Q) + \max_{1\leq k\leq n}\lambda_k(D)\}.$$

Lemma 2.3 [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 13] Let H(X) be a continuous vector function and that H(0) = 0 then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \langle H(\sigma X), X \rangle \, d\sigma = \langle H(X), \dot{X} \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.4 Let H(X) be a continuous vector function and that H(0) = 0 then

$$\delta_h \|X\|^2 \le 2 \int_0^1 \langle H(\sigma X), X \rangle \, d\sigma \le \Delta_h \|X\|^2$$

where δ_h, Δ_h are the least and the greatest eigenvalues of $J_h(X)$ (Jacobian matrix of H), respectively.

3 Stability

First, we will give the stability criteria for the general autonomous delay differential system. We consider

$$x' = f(x_t), \quad x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), \quad -r \le \theta \le 0, \ t \ge 0,$$
(3.1)

where $f: C_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous mapping, f(0) = 0,

$$C_{\mathbf{H}} := \{ \phi \in C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n) \colon \|\phi\| \le \mathbf{H} \}$$

and for $\mathbf{H}_1 < \mathbf{H}$, there exists L > 0, with $|f(\phi)| \leq L$ when $||\phi|| \leq \mathbf{H}_1$. Here, $C([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^n)$ is the family of all vector functions mapping [-r, 0] into \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 3.1 [3, 5, 6, 11, 12] An element $\psi \in C$ is in the ω -limit set of ϕ , if $x(t, 0, \phi)$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and there is a sequence $\{t_n\}, t_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, with $||x_{t_n}(\phi) - \psi|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ where $x_{t_n}(\phi) = x(t_n + \theta, 0, \phi)$ for $-r \le \theta \le 0$. $x(t; 0, \phi)$ is a motion of a system at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $x(0) = \phi$.

Definition 3.2 [3, 5, 6, 11, 12] A set $Q \subset C_{\mathbf{H}}$ is an invariant set if for any $\phi \in Q$, the solution of (3.1), $x(t, 0, \phi)$, is defined on $[0, \infty)$, and $x_t(\phi) \in Q$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Lemma 3.3 (see [3, 5, 6, 11, 12]) If $\phi \in C_{\mathbf{H}}$ is such that the solution $x_t(\theta)$ of (3.1) with $x_0(\phi) = \phi$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $||x_t(\phi)|| \leq \mathbf{H_1} < \mathbf{H}$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, then $\Omega(\phi)$ (the ω -limit set of ϕ) is a nonempty, compact, invariant set and

 $dist(x_t(\phi), \Omega(\phi)) \to 0, \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$

Lemma 3.4 (see [3, 5, 6, 11, 12]) Let $V(\phi) : C_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous functional satisfying a local Lipschitz condition. V(0) = 0 and such that

(i) $W_1(|\phi(0)|) \le V(\phi) \le W_2(||\phi(0)||)$ where $W_1(r), W_2(r)$ are wedges.

(*ii*)
$$V'_{(3,1)}(\phi) \leq 0$$
, for $\phi \in C_{\mathbf{H}}$.

Then the zero solution of (3.1) is uniformly stable. If we define

$$Z = \{\phi \in C_{\mathbf{H}} \colon V'_{(3,1)}(\phi) = 0\}$$

then the zero solution of (3.1) is asymptotically stable, provided that the largest invariant set in Z is $Q = \{0\}$.

Before we state our result in this section, we write equation (1.1) with $P \equiv 0$ as

$$\dot{X} = Y$$

$$\dot{Y} = Z$$

$$\dot{Z} = -AZ - BY - H(X) + \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} J_h(X)Y \, ds.$$
(3.2)

We shall constantly refer to (3.2) subsequently in our discussion.

The following will be our main stability result for (3.2).

Theorem 3.5 Consider (3.2), let H(0) = 0 and suppose that

- (i) $0 \le r(t) \le \gamma$ ($\gamma > 0$), $r'(t) \le \xi$, and $0 < \xi < 1$;
- (ii) the matrices A, B and $J_h(X)$ (Jacobian matrix of H(X)) are symmetric and positive definite, and furthermore the eigenvalues $\lambda_i(A)$, $\lambda_i(B)$ and $\lambda_i(J_h(X))$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) of A, B and $J_h(X)$, respectively satisfy,

$$0 < \delta_a \le \lambda_i(A) \le \Delta_a \tag{3.3}$$

$$0 < \delta_b \le \lambda_i(B) \le \Delta_b \tag{3.4}$$

$$0 < \delta_h \le \lambda_i(J_h(X)) \le \Delta_h, \text{ for } X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(3.5)

where $\delta_a, \delta_b, \delta_h, \Delta_a, \Delta_b, \Delta_h$ are finite constants;

(iii) the matrices A, B and $J_h(X)$ commute pairwise.

Then the zero solution of (3.2) is asymptotically stable, provided

$$\gamma < \min\left\{\frac{2(\beta\delta_a - 1)}{\beta\Delta_h}, \frac{2(\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h)(1 - \xi)}{\Delta_h(2 + \beta - \xi)}\right\}.$$

Proof Using the equivalent system form (3.2), our main tool is the following Lyapunov functional, $V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$ defined as

$$2V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) = 2\int_0^1 \langle H(\sigma X), X \rangle d\sigma + \langle AY, Y \rangle + \beta \langle Y, BY \rangle + \beta \langle Z, Z \rangle + 2 \langle Y, Z \rangle + 2\beta \langle Y, H(X) \rangle + \mu \int_{-r(t)}^0 \int_{t+s}^t \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta ds, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\delta_a} < \beta < \frac{\delta_b}{\Delta_h}$$

Since

$$\mu \int_{-r(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta ds$$

is non-negative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2V_1(X_t,Y_t,Z_t) &\geq 2\int_0^1 \langle H(\sigma X),X\rangle d\sigma + \langle AY,Y\rangle + \beta \langle Y,BY\rangle \\ &+ \beta \langle Z,Z\rangle + 2\langle Y,Z\rangle + 2\beta \langle Y,H(X)\rangle \\ &= 2\int_0^1 \langle H(\sigma X),X\rangle d\sigma - \beta \langle B^{-1}H(X),H(X)\rangle \\ &+ \beta \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}Y + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}H(X)\|^2 + \beta \|Z + \beta^{-1}Y\|^2 + \langle (A - \beta^{-1}I)Y,Y\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemmas 2.1,2.2 and 2.4, and since $\beta \|B^{\frac{1}{2}}Y + B^{-\frac{1}{2}}H(X)\|^2 \ge 0$, we have

$$2V_{1}(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}) \geq 2 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \sigma \langle \{I - \beta B^{-1} J_{h}(\sigma X)\} J_{h}(\sigma \tau X) X, X \rangle d\sigma d\tau + \beta \|Z + \beta^{-1} Y\|^{2} + \langle (A - \beta^{-1} I) Y, Y \rangle \geq (1 - \beta \delta_{b}^{-1} \Delta_{h}) \delta_{h} \|X\|^{2} + \beta \|Z + \beta^{-1} Y\|^{2} + (\delta_{a} - \beta^{-1}) \|Y\|^{2}.$$

Hence there is a constant K > 0 (small enough) such that

$$V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \ge K \left(||X||^2 + ||Y||^2 + ||Z||^2 \right).$$

Next, our target is to show that $V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$ satisfies the second condition of Lemma 3.4. From (3.2), (3.6) and using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{1}(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}) = -\langle (\beta A - I)Z, Z \rangle - \langle \{B - \beta J_{h}(X)\}Y, Y \rangle
+ \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Y, J_{h}(X)Y \rangle ds + \beta \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Z, J_{h}(X)Y \rangle ds
+ \mu r(t) \langle Y, Y \rangle - \mu (1 - r'(t)) \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta.$$
(3.7)

On using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the identity $2|\langle U,V\rangle|\leq \|U\|^2+\|V\|^2,$ we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{1}(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}) \leq -\left(\delta_{b} - \beta\Delta_{h} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h}\gamma - \mu\gamma\right) \|Y\|^{2} - \left(\beta\delta_{a} - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\Delta_{h}\right) \|Z\|^{2} + \left\{\frac{1}{2}\beta\Delta_{h} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h} - \mu(1-\xi)\right\} \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle \, d\theta.$$
(3.8)

If we choose $\mu = \frac{(\beta+1)\Delta_h}{2(1-\xi)}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \le -\left\{\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h - \frac{(2+\beta-\xi)}{2(1-\xi)}\Delta_h\gamma\right\} \|Y\|^2 - \left(\beta\delta_a - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\Delta_h\right) \|Z\|^2,$$

and choosing

$$\gamma < \min\left\{\frac{2(\beta\delta_a - 1)}{\beta\Delta_h}, \frac{2(\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h)}{\Delta_h(2 + \beta - \xi)}\right\},\,$$

there is a constant D > 0 such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \le -D\left(\|Y\|^2 + \|Z\|^2\right).$$

Hence the result follows.

Example 1 As a special case of system (1.1) (for P(t) = 0), let us take n = 2 that

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$H(X(t-r(t))) = \begin{pmatrix} \tan^{-1} x_1(t-r(t)) + 2x_1(t-r(t)) \\ \tan^{-1} x_2(t-r(t)) + 2x_2(t-r(t)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$H(X(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} \tan^{-1} x_1(t) + 2x_1(t) \\ \tan^{-1} x_2(t) + 2x_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } J_h(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \frac{1}{1+x_1^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 3 + \frac{1}{1+x_2^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we take $r(t) = \frac{1}{22+t^2}$, then $0 \le \frac{1}{22+t^2} < \gamma$, and that $r'(t) = \frac{-2t}{(22+t^2)^2} \le \xi$, $0 < \xi < 1$. Clearly, A, B and $J_h(X)$ are symmetric and commute pairwise. That is,

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0\\ 0 & 30 \end{pmatrix} = BA,$$
$$AJ_h(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 16 + \frac{8}{1+x_1^2} & 0\\ 0 & 30 + \frac{10}{1+x_2^2} \end{pmatrix} = J_h(X)A$$

and

$$BJ_h(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 + \frac{1}{1+x_1^2} & 0\\ 0 & 9 + \frac{3}{1+x_2^2} \end{pmatrix} = J_h(X)B$$

Then, by easy calculation, we obtain eigenvalues of the matrices A, B and $J_h(X)$ as follows:

$$\lambda_1(A) = 8, \quad \lambda_2(A) = 10, \quad \lambda_1(B) = 1, \quad \lambda_2(B) = 3,$$

$$\lambda_1(J_h(X)) = 2 + \frac{1}{1 + x_1^2}, \quad \lambda_2(J_h(X)) = 3 + \frac{1}{1 + x_1^2}$$

It is obvious that $\delta_a = 8$, $\Delta_a = 10$, $\delta_b = 1$, $\Delta_b = 3$, $\delta_h = 2$, $\Delta_h = 4$. If we choose $\beta = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$, we must have that $\gamma < \min\{1, \frac{1}{20}\}$.

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 3.5 are satisfied.

4 Boundedness

First, consider a system of delay differential equations

$$\dot{x} = F(t, x_t), \quad x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta), \quad -r \le \theta \le 0, \ t \ge 0,$$

$$(4.1)$$

where $F \colon \mathbb{R} \times C_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous mapping and takes bounded set into bounded sets.

The following lemma is a well-known result obtained by Burton [5].

Lemma 4.1 [3, 5, 6, 11, 12] Let $V(t, \phi) \colon \mathbb{R} \times C_{\mathbf{H}} \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and locally Lipschitz in ϕ . If

(i) $W(|x(t)|) \le V(t, x_t) \le W_1(|x(t)|) + W_2\left(\int_{t-r(t)}^t W_3(|x(s)|)ds\right)$, and (ii) $\dot{V}_{(4,1)} \le -W_3(|x(s)|) + M$,

for some M > 0, where W(r), $W_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are wedges, then the solutions of (4.1) are uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded for bound **B**.

To study the boundedness of solutions of (1.1) for which $P(t) \neq 0$, we would need to write Eq. (1.1) in the form

$$X = Y$$

$$\dot{Y} = Z$$

$$\dot{Z} = -AZ - BY - H(X) + \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} J_h(X)Yds + P(t).$$
(4.2)

Thus our main theorem in this section is stated with respect to Eq.(4.2) as follows:

Theorem 4.2 If the conditions of Theorem 3.5 hold, and

$$\|P(t)\| \le m,\tag{4.3}$$

where m is a positive constant, then the solutions of equation (4.2) are uniformly bounded and uniformly ultimately bounded provided γ satisfies

$$\gamma < \min\left\{\frac{2\delta_h(\delta_a\delta_b - \Delta_h)}{\Delta_h(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)}, \frac{2(\beta\delta_a - 1)}{\Delta_h(\beta + \Delta_a)}, \frac{2(\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h)(1 - \xi)}{\Delta_h\left\{(1 + \Delta_a^2)(1 - \xi) + 2 + \beta + \Delta_a^2 + (\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right\}}\right\},$$

Proof Consider the function

$$V = V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) + V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t),$$
(4.4)

where $V_1(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$ is defined as (3.6) and $V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$ defined as

$$2V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) = 2 \int_0^1 \langle AH(\sigma X), AX \rangle d\sigma + \langle B(AB - \Delta_h I)X, X \rangle + 2 \langle AY, H(X) \rangle + \langle \Delta_h Y, Y \rangle + 2 \langle (AB - \Delta_h I)X, Z + AY \rangle + \langle A(Z + AY), Z + AY \rangle.$$
(4.5)

This we can rewrite

$$\begin{split} 2V_2(X_t,Y_t,Z_t) &= 2\int_0^1 \langle AH(\sigma X),AX\rangle d\sigma - \langle A\Delta_h^{-1}H(X),AH(X)\rangle \\ &+ \langle \Delta_h Y + H(X),Y + A\Delta_h^{-1}H(X)\rangle + \langle \Delta_h A^{-1}(AB - \Delta_h I)X,X\rangle \\ &+ \langle (AB - \Delta_h I)X + A^2Y + AZ,A^{-1}(AB - \Delta_h I)X + AY + Z\rangle \\ &= 2\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \sigma \langle \left\{ I - \Delta_h^{-1}J_h(\sigma X) \right\} A^2 J(\tau \sigma X)X,X\rangle \, d\sigma d\tau \\ &+ \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}}(AB - \Delta_h I)X + A^{\frac{3}{2}}Y + A^{\frac{1}{2}}Z\|^2 \\ &+ \|\Delta_h^{\frac{1}{2}}(Y + \Delta_h^{-1}AH(X))\|^2 + \langle \Delta_h A^{-1}(AB - \Delta_h I)X,X\rangle. \end{split}$$

However,

$$2\int_0^1\int_0^1\sigma\langle\left\{I-\Delta_h^{-1}J_h(\sigma X)\right\}A^2J(\tau\sigma X)X,X\rangle\,d\sigma d\tau\geq 0$$

and $\langle \Delta_h A^{-1} (AB - \Delta_h I) X, X \rangle \ge \Delta_h \Delta_a^{-1} (\delta_a \delta_b - \Delta_h) \|X\|^2$. Thus,

$$2V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \ge \Delta_h \Delta_a^{-1} (\delta_a \delta_b - \Delta_h) \|X\|^2 + \|\Delta_h^{\frac{1}{2}} (Y + \Delta_h^{-1} A H(X))\|^2 + \|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} (AB - \Delta_h I) X + A^{\frac{3}{2}} Y + A^{\frac{1}{2}} Z\|^2.$$

It follows that $V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t)$ is positive definite.

From (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), (4.2) and Lemma2.3, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{1}(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}) \leq -\left(\delta_{b} - \beta\Delta_{h} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h}\gamma - \mu\gamma\right) \|Y\|^{2}
-\left(\beta\delta_{a} - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta\gamma\Delta_{h}\right) \|Z\|^{2} + \left\{\frac{1}{2}\beta\Delta_{h} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h} - \mu(1-\xi)\right\}
\times \int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta + (\|Y\| + \beta\|Z\|)m.$$
(4.6)

Also from (4.2), (4.5) and Lemma 2.3 we obtain,

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_2(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) = -\langle (AB - \Delta_h I)X, H(X) \rangle - \langle A(\Delta_h I - J_h(X))Y, Y \rangle$$
$$+ \int_{t-r(t)}^t \langle (AB - \Delta_h I)X, J_h(X)Y \rangle \, ds + \int_{t-r(t)}^t \langle AZ, J_h(X)Y \rangle \, ds$$
$$+ \int_{t-r(t)}^t \langle A^2Y, J_h(X)Y \rangle \, ds + \langle P(t), (AB - \Delta_h I)X + A^2Y + AZ \rangle$$

Also using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, the identity $2|\langle U, V \rangle| \leq (||U||^2 + ||V||^2)$, and the fact that $\langle A(\Delta_h I - J_h(X))Y, Y \rangle \geq 0$ we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_{2}(X_{t}, Y_{t}, Z_{t}) \leq -\left\{\delta_{h}(\delta_{a}\delta_{b} - \Delta_{h}) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h}(\Delta_{a}\Delta_{b} - \Delta_{h})r(t)\right\} \|X\|^{2}
+ \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{a}\Delta_{h}r(t)\|Z\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{a}^{2}\Delta_{h}r(t)\|Y\|^{2}
+ \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{h}\left\{(\Delta_{a}\Delta_{b} - \Delta_{h}) + \Delta_{a} + \Delta_{a}^{2}\right\}\int_{t-r(t)}^{t} \langle Y(s), Y(s) \rangle ds
+ \left\{(\Delta_{a}\Delta_{b} - \Delta_{h})\|X\| + \Delta_{a}^{2}\|Y\| + \Delta_{a}\|Z\|\right\} m$$
(4.7)

Therefore, from (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \leq -\left\{\delta_h(\delta_a\delta_b - \Delta_h) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_h\gamma(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right\} \|X\|^2$$
$$-\left\{\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h - \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_a^2\Delta_h + \mu\right)\right\} \|Y\|^2$$
$$-\left\{\beta\delta_a - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\Delta_h(\beta + \Delta_a)\right\} \|Z\|^2$$
$$+\left\{\frac{1}{2}\Delta_h\left(2 + \beta + \Delta_a^2 + (\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right) - \mu(1 - \xi)\right\} \int_{t-r(t)}^t \langle Y(\theta), Y(\theta) \rangle d\theta$$
$$+\left\{(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\|X\| + (1 + \Delta_a^2)\|Y\| + (\beta + \Delta_a)\|Z\|\right\} m.$$

If we choose

$$\mu = \frac{\Delta_h \left\{ 2 + \beta + \Delta_a^2 + (\Delta_a \Delta_b - \Delta_h) \right\}}{2(1 - \xi)},$$

we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) \leq -\left\{\delta_h(\delta_a\delta_b - \Delta_h) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_h\gamma(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right\} \|X\|^2$$
$$-\frac{1}{2(1-\xi)}\left\{2(\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h)(1-\xi)\right\}$$
$$-\gamma\Delta_h\left\{(1+\Delta_a^2)(1-\xi) + 2+\beta + \Delta_a^2 + (\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right\} \|Y\|^2$$
$$-\left\{\beta\delta_a - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\Delta_h(\beta + \Delta_a)\right\} \|Z\|^2$$
$$+\left\{(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\|X\| + (1+\Delta_a^2)\|Y\| + (\beta + \Delta_a)\|Z\|\right\} m.$$

When

$$\gamma < \min\left\{\frac{2\delta_h(\delta_a\delta_b - \Delta_h)}{\Delta_h(\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)}, \frac{2(\beta\delta_a - 1)}{\Delta_h(\beta + \Delta_a)}, \frac{2(\delta_b - \beta\Delta_h)(1 - \xi)}{\overline{\Delta_h\left\{(1 + \Delta_a^2)(1 - \xi) + 2 + \beta + \Delta_a^2 + (\Delta_a\Delta_b - \Delta_h)\right\}}}\right\},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} V(X_t, Y_t, Z_t) &\leq -\alpha (\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2 + \|Z\|^2) + k\alpha (\|X\| + \|Y\| + \|Z\|) \\ &= -\frac{\alpha}{2} (\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2 + \|Z\|^2) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left\{ (\|X\| - k)^2 + (\|Y\| - k)^2 + (\|Z\| - k)^2 \right\} + \frac{3\alpha}{2} k^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha}{2} (\|X\|^2 + \|Y\|^2 + \|Z\|^2) + \frac{3\alpha}{2} k^2, \quad \text{for some } k, \alpha > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Example 2 Now, as a special case of system (1.1) (for $P(t) \neq 0$), let us take n = 2 that A, B, H(X(t - r(t))) defined in Example 1 hold. If we take $r(t) = \frac{1}{2146+t^2}$, then $0 \leq \frac{1}{2146+t^2} < \gamma$, and $r'(t) = \frac{-2t}{(2146+t^2)^2} \leq \xi, 0 < \xi < 1$. Let

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+t^2} \\ \frac{1}{1+t^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{6} \text{ and } \xi = \frac{1}{2},$$

we have that

$$\|P(t)\| = \frac{2}{1+t^2} \le 2 \text{ and } \gamma < \min\left\{\frac{2}{13}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2144}\right\}.$$

Thus, all the conditions of Theorem 4.2 are satisfied.

References

- Afuwape, A. U.: Ultimate boundedness results for a certain system of third-order nonlinear differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 97 (1983), 140–150.
- [2] Afuwape, A. U., Omeike, M. O.: Further ultimate boundedness of solutions of some system of third-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Math. 43 (2004), 7–20.
- [3] Afuwape, A. U., Omeike, M. O.: On the stability and boubdedness of solutions of a kind of third order delay differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation 200 (2000), 444–451.
- [4] Burton, T. A.: Volterra Integral and Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [5] Burton, T. A.: Stability and Periodic Solutions of Ordinary and Functional Differential Equations. Academic Press, Orlando, 1985.
- [6] Burton, T. A., Zhang, S.: Unified boundedness, periodicity and stability in ordinary and functional differential equations. Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 145 (1986), 129–158.
- [7] Ezeilo, J. O. C., Tejumola, H. O.: Boundedness and periodicity of solutions of a certain system of third-order nonlinear differential equations. Ann. Math. Pura Appl. 74 (1966), 283–316.
- [8] Ezeilo, J. O. C.: n-dimensional extensions of boundedness and stability theorems for some third-order differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1967), 395–416.
- [9] Ezeilo, J. O. C., Tejumola, H. O.: Further results for a system of third-order ordinary differential equations. Atti. Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 58 (1975), 143–151.
- [10] Hale, J. K.: Theory of Functional Differential Equations. Springer Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [11] Sadek, A. I.: Stability and Boundedness of a Kind of Third-Order Delay Differential System. Applied Mathematics Letters 16 (2003), 657–662.
- [12] Sadek, A. I.: On the stability of solutions of certain fourth order delay differential equations. Applied Mathematics and Computation 148 (2004), 587–597.
- [13] Tiryaki, A.: Boundedness and periodicity results for a certain system of third-order nonlinear differential equations. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30, 4 (1999), 361–372.
- [14] Zhu, Y.: On stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solution of a kind of thirdorder nonlinear delay differential system. Ann. of Diff. Eqs. 8, 2 (1992), 249–259.
- [15] Yoshizawa, T.: Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1996.