Some Properties of Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds with Respect to Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

Santu DEY $^{a,\ast},$ Arindam BHATTACHARYYA b

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India ^a e-mail: santu.mathju@gmail.com ^b e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in

(Received June 22, 2015)

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study generalized recurrent, generalized Ricci-recurrent, weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric, semi-generalized recurrent, semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Finally, we give an example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric connection.

Key words: Quarter-symmetric metric connection, Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, generalized recurrent manifold, generalized Riccirecurrent manifold, weakly symmetric manifold, weakly Ricci-symmetric manifold, semi-generalized recurrent manifold, Einstein manifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C15

1 Introduction

The idea of a semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold was introduced by Friedmann and Schouten [5]. Further, Hayden [7], introduced the idea of metric connection with torsion on a Riemannian manifold. In [32], Yano studied some curvature conditions for semi-symmetric connections in Riemannian manifolds.

^{*}First author is supported by DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2013/1041, Govt. of India.

In 1975, Golab [6] defined and studied a quarter-symmetric connection in a differentiable manifold.

A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a *quarter-symmetric connection* [6] if its torsion tensor \tilde{T} defined by

$$\tilde{T}(X,Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X,Y], \qquad (1.1)$$

is of the form

$$\tilde{T}(X,Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y, \qquad (1.2)$$

where η is a non-zero 1-form and ϕ is a tensor field of type (1,1). In addition, if a quarter-symmetric linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M, then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection. In particular, if $\phi X = X$ and $\phi Y = Y$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, then the quarter-symmetric connection reduces to a semi-symmetric connection [5].

M. M. Tripathi [29] studied semi-symmetric metric connections in a Kenmotsu manifolds. In [31], the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold was studied by M. M. Tripathi and N. Nakkar. Also in [30], M. M. Tripathi proved the existence of a new connection and showed that in particular cases, this connection reduces to semi-symmetric connections; even some of them are not introduced so far.

In 2005, Yildiz and Murathan [36] studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds and proved that conformally flat and quasi conformally flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds are locally isometric with a sphere. In 2012, Yadav and Suthar [34] studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds.

After Golab [6], Rastogi ([22], [23]) continued the systematic study of quartersymmetric metric connection. In 1980, Mishra and Pandey [8] studied quartersymmetric metric connection in a Riemannian, Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifold. In 1982, Yano and Imai [33] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection in Hermition and Kaehlerian manifolds. In 1991, Mukhopadhyay et al. [16] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold with an almost complex structure ϕ .

On the other hand, De and Guha introduced generalized recurrent manifold with the non-zero 1-form α_1 and another non-zero associated 1-form β_1 . Such a manifold has been denoted by GK_n . If the associated 1-form becomes zero, then the manifold GK_n reduces to a recurrent manifold introduced by Ruse [24] which is denoted by K_n . The idea of Ricci-recurrent manifold was introduced by Patterson [17]. He denoted such a manifold by R^n . Ricci-recurrent manifolds have been studied by many authors ([3], [18], [35], [9], [10], [11], [12]). A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called *generalized recurrent* if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, W)Y - g(Y, W)Z], \quad (1.4)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and α_1 and β_1 are two 1-forms ($\beta_1 \neq 0$) defined by

$$\alpha_1(X) = g(X, A), \ \beta_1(X) = g(X, B), \tag{1.5}$$

and A, B are vector fields related with 1-forms α_1 and β_1 respectively. A nonflat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called generalized Riccirecurrent if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)S(Y, Z)W + (n-1)\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z),$$
(1.6)

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

The notions of weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric manifolds were introduced by L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh in ([27], [28]).

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called *pseudosymmetric* if there is a 1-form α_1 on M such that

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y,Z)V = 2\alpha_1(X)R(Y,Z)V + \alpha_1(Y)R(X,Z)V + \alpha_1(Z)R(Y,X)V + \alpha_1(V)R(Y,Z)X + g(R(Y,Z)V,X)A,$$
(1.7)

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and X, Y, Z, V are vector fields on M. $A \in \chi(M)$ is the vector field associated with 1-form α_1 which is defined by $g(X, A) = \alpha_1(X)$ in [1]. Later R. Deszcz [4] started to use "pseudosymmetric" term in different sence, see([11], [12] [13]).

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called weakly symmetric ([27], [28]) if there are 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \sigma_1$ such that

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)V = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)V + \beta_1(Y)R(X, Z)V + \gamma_1(Z)R(Y, X)V + \sigma_1(V)R(Y, Z)X + g(R(Y, Z)V, X)A$$
(1.8)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M. A weakly symmetric manifold M is pseudosymmetric if $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$ and P = A, locally symmetric if $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \sigma_1 = 0$ and P = 0. A weakly symmetric manifold is said to be proper if at least one of the 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$ and σ_1 is not zero or $P \neq 0$.

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called weakly Ricci-symmetric ([27], [28]) if there are 1-forms ρ , μ , v such that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \rho(X)S(Y, Z) + \mu(Y)S(Y, Z) + \upsilon(Z)S(X, Y)$$
(1.9)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M. If $\rho = \mu = v$, then M is called pseudo Ricci-symmetric(see [2]).

If M is weakly symmetric, from (1.8), we have

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = \alpha_1(X)S(Z,V) + \beta_1(R(X,Z)V) + \gamma_1(Z)S(X,V) + \sigma_1(V)S(X,Z) + p(R(X,V)Z),$$
(1.10)

where p is defined by p(X) = g(X, P) for any $X \in \chi(M)$ in [28].

Generalizing the notion of recurrency, the author Khan [21] introduced the notion of generalized recurrent Sasakian manifolds. In the paper B. Prasad [19] introduced the notion of semi-generalized recurrent manifold and obtained few interesting results. L. Rachunek and J. Mikeš studied the similar problems in([14], [15], [25]).

A Riemannian manifold is called a *semi-generalized recurrent manifold* if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z, W)Y, \qquad (1.11)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

A Riemannian manifold is called a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) = \alpha_1(X)S(Y,Z) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y,Z), \qquad (1.12)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

Motivated by the above studies, in the present paper we have proved that $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on both generalized recurrent and generalized Riccirecurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. We also show that there is no weakly symmetric or weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quartersymmetric metric connection, n > 3, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ or $\rho + \mu + v$ is everywhere zero, respectively. We have also studied semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

2 Preliminaries

A n(=2m+1)-dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold if it admits a (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy the following conditions

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

Some properties of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds...

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad (2.3)$$

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \alpha \{ g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X \}$$
(2.5)

 $\forall X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and for non-zero smooth functions α on M, ∇ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian metric g ([20], [37]). For a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, it can be shown that ([20], [37]):

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \phi X, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha g(\phi X, Y) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

Further on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, the following relations hold [20]

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,\xi) = \eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^2 [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)], \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \qquad (2.9)$$

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^2 [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.10)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \alpha^{2}[X + \eta(X)\xi], \qquad (2.11)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = S(\xi,X) = (n-1)\alpha^2 \eta(X),$$
(2.12)

$$S(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1)\alpha^2,$$
(2.13)

$$Q\xi = (n-1)\alpha^2\xi, \qquad (2.14)$$

where Q is the Ricci operator, i.e.,

$$g(QX,Y) = S(X,Y). \tag{2.15}$$

If ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection manifold M, then quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in M is denoted by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi(X). \tag{2.16}$$

3 Curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

Let $\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z$ and R(X,Y)Z be the curvature tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ respectively on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M. A relation between the curvature tensors $\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z$ and R(X,Y)Z on M is given by

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + \alpha[g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X] + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$
(3.1)

Also from (3.1), we obtain

$$\tilde{S}(X,Y) = S(X,Y) + \alpha[g(X,Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)], \qquad (3.2)$$

where \tilde{S} and S are the Ricci tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. Contracting (3.2), we obtain,

$$\tilde{r} = r, \tag{3.3}$$

where \tilde{r} and r are the scalar curvature tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively.

Also we have

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, X)Y = -\tilde{R}(X, \xi)Y = \alpha^2[g(X, Y))\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \alpha\eta(Y)[X + \eta(X)\xi](3.4)$$

$$\eta(\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^2 [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)], \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (3.6)$$

$$\tilde{S}(X,\xi) = \tilde{S}(\xi,X) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X), \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\tilde{S}(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha),$$
(3.8)

$$\tilde{Q}X = QX - \alpha(n-1)X, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\tilde{Q}\xi = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\xi, \qquad (3.10)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[X + \eta(X)\xi].$$
 (3.11)

4 Generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called generalized recurrent with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, W)Y - g(Y, W)Z] \quad (4.1)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the quarter-symmetric metric connection and \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\nabla}$.

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called generalized Ricci-recurrent with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if its Ricci tensor \tilde{S} satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z)$$
(4.2)

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

In [26] Sular studied that if M be a generalized recurrent Kenmotsu manifold and generalized Ricci recurrent Kenmotsu manifold respect to semi-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = 2\alpha_1$ holds on M.

Now we consider generalized recurrent and generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. We start with the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. If a generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admits quarter-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on M.

Proof. Suppose that M is a generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Taking $Y = W = \xi$ in (4.1), we get

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)\hat{R}(\xi, Z)\xi + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, \xi)\xi + Z].$$
(4.3)

By using the equation (2.4), (2.10) and (3.6) in (4.3), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = [\alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + \beta_1(X)]\{\eta(Z)\xi + Z\}.$$
(4.4)

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi - \tilde{R}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi(4.5)$$

Now using the equation (2.10) and (3.6) in (4.5), we have

$$(\nabla_X \hat{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Hence comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain

$$[\alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + \beta_1(X)]\{\eta(Z)\xi + Z\} = 0,$$
(4.7)

which imply

$$\beta_1(X) = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1(X) \tag{4.8}$$

for any vector field $X \in M$. So our theorem is proved.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting quarter-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on M.

Proof. Suppose that M is a generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifold M with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (4.2), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y,\xi) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)g(Y,\xi).$$

$$(4.9)$$

Then by using the equation (2.4), (2.12) and (3.7) in (4.9), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \alpha_1(X)[(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)\eta(Y).$$
(4.10)

On the other hand, by using the definition of covariant derivative of \tilde{S} with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, it is well-known that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S}(Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(Y,\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi)$$
(4.11)

Now using the equation (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.2) and (3.7) in (4.11), we obtain

$$(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\alpha g(Y, \phi X) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) + \alpha g(Y, \phi X)].$$
(4.12)

Hence comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.10) and (4.12) we obtain

$$\alpha_{1}(X)[(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(Y) + (n-1)\beta_{1}(X)\eta(Y) = (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\alpha g(Y, \phi X) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) + \alpha g(Y, \phi X)].$$
(4.13)

Now putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.13), we get

$$\beta_1(X) = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1(X) \tag{4.14}$$

for any vector field $X \in M$. So this completes the proof.

5 Weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called weakly symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if there are 1-forms α_1 , β_1 , γ_1 , σ_1 such that

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y,Z)V = \alpha_1(X)R(Y,Z)V + \beta_1(Y)R(X,Z)V + \gamma_1(Z)R(Y,X)V + \sigma_1(V)\tilde{R}(Y,Z)X + g(\tilde{R}(Y,Z)V,X)A$$
(5.1)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M.

A non-flat n-dimensional differentiable manifold M, n > 3, is called weakly Ricci-symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if there are 1-forms ρ , μ , v such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \rho(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + \mu(Y)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + \upsilon(Z)\tilde{S}(X, Y)$$
(5.2)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M. If M is weakly symmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, by a contraction from (1.8), we have

$$(\hat{\nabla}_X \hat{S})(Z, V) = \alpha_1(X)\hat{S}(Z, V) + \beta_1(\hat{R}(X, Z)V) + \gamma_1(Z)\hat{S}(X, V) + \sigma_1(V)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + p(\tilde{R}(X, V)Z).$$
(5.3)

In [26], Sular studied weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection and obtained some results.

i) If M be a weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quartersymmetric metric connection then there is no weakly symmetric n > 3, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ is everywhere zero.

ii) If M be a weakly Ricci-symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semisymmetric metric connection then there is no weakly Ricci-symmetric n > 3, unless $\rho + \mu + v$ is everywhere zero.

Now we consider weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. We start with the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. There is no weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection n > 3, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ is everywhere zero, provided $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.

Proof. Let M be a weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. By the covariant differentiation of the Ricci tensor \tilde{S} of the quarter-symmetric metric connection with respect to X, we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, V) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S}(Z, V) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Z, V) - \tilde{S}(Z, \tilde{\nabla}_X V).$$
(5.4)

Putting $V = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z,\xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)Z - (\alpha - 1)\tilde{S}(Z,\phi X).$$
(5.5)

Replacing $V = \xi$ in (5.3), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z,\xi) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Z,\xi) + \beta_1(\tilde{R}(X,Z)\xi) + \gamma_1(Z)\tilde{S}(X,\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X,Z) + p(\tilde{R}(X,\xi)Z).$$
(5.6)

Now using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.6) and (3.7) in (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z,\xi) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z) \\ &+ (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_1(X) - \eta(X)\beta_1(Z)] \\ &+ \gamma_1(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X,Z) \\ &- \alpha^2[g(X,Z)p(\xi) - \eta(Z)p(X)] - \alpha_1\eta(Z)[p(X) \\ &+ \eta(X)p(\xi)]. \end{split}$$
(5.7)

Thus, comparing the right hand sides of the equations (5.5) and (5.7) we obtain

$$(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)(\nabla_{X}\eta)Z - (\alpha - 1)\tilde{S}(Z, \phi X) = \alpha_{1}(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(Z) + (\alpha^{2} - \alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_{1}(X) - \eta(X)\beta_{1}(Z)] + \gamma_{1}(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(X) + \sigma_{1}(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, Z) - \alpha^{2}[g(X, Z)p(\xi) - \eta(Z)p(X)] - \alpha_{1}\eta(Z)[p(X) + \eta(X)p(\xi)].$$
(5.8)

Then taking $X = Z = \xi$ in (5.8) and using (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (2.12) and (3.8), we get

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi)] = 0.$$
(5.9)

Now as n > 3 and $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, So,

$$\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi) = 0.$$
(5.10)

Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.3), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(\xi, V) + \beta_1(\tilde{R}(X, \xi)V) + \gamma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, V) + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, \xi) + p(\tilde{R}(X, V)\xi.$$
(5.11)

Also putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)V - (\alpha - 1)\tilde{S}(V, \phi X).$$
(5.12)

Similarly using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.6) and (3.7) in (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(V) - \alpha^2 [g(X, V)\beta_1(\xi) \\ &- \eta(V)\beta_1(X)] - \alpha\eta(V)[\beta_1(X) + \eta(X)\beta_1(\xi)] \\ &+ \gamma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, V) + \sigma_1(V)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) \\ &+ (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(V)p(X) - \eta(V)p(X)]. \end{split}$$
(5.13)

Thus, comparing the right hand sides of the equations (5.12) and (5.13), we obtain

$$(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)(\nabla_{X}\eta)V - (\alpha - 1)\tilde{S}(V,\phi X) = \alpha_{1}(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(V) - \alpha^{2}[g(X,V)\beta_{1}(\xi) - \eta(V)\beta_{1}(X)] - \alpha\eta(V)[\beta_{1}(X) + \eta(X)\beta_{1}(\xi)] + \gamma_{1}(\xi)\tilde{S}(X,V) + \sigma_{1}(V)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(X) + (\alpha^{2} - \alpha)[\eta(V)p(X) - \eta(V)p(X)].$$
(5.14)

Now putting $V = \xi$ in (5.14), we obtain

$$-\alpha_{1}(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha) - (\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_{1}(\xi) + \beta_{1}(X)] + (\sigma_{1}(\xi) + \gamma_{1}(\xi))(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(X) - (\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[p(X) + \eta(X)p(\xi)] = 0.$$
(5.15)

Taking $X = \xi$ in (5.14), we obtain

$$\alpha_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(V) + \gamma_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(V) - \sigma_{1}(V)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha) + (\alpha^{2} - \alpha)[p(V) + \eta(V)p(\xi)] = 0.$$
(5.16)

In (5.16) taking V = X and summing with (5.15), by virtue of (5.10) we find

$$-(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[\alpha_{1}(X) + \sigma_{1}(X)] - (\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_{1}(\xi) + \beta_{1}(X)] + (n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(X)\gamma_{1}(\xi) = 0.$$
(5.17)

Again putting $X = \xi$ in (5.8), we obtain

$$\alpha_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(Z) + (\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_{1}(\xi) + \beta_{1}(Z)] - \gamma_{1}(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha) + \sigma_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(Z) = 0.$$
(5.18)

Now in the equation (5.18) taking Z = X, we obtain

$$\alpha_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(X) + (\alpha^{2}-\alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_{1}(\xi) + \beta_{1}(X)] - \gamma_{1}(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha) + \sigma_{1}(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^{2}-\alpha)\eta(X) = 0.$$
(5.19)

Then adding (5.17) and (5.19), we find

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X)[\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi)] - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\alpha_1(X) + \gamma_1(X) + \sigma_1(X)] = 0.$$
(5.20)

Since n > 3, $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, and

$$\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi) = 0,$$

so we get

$$\alpha_1(X) + \gamma_1(X) + \sigma_1(X) = 0$$

for all $X \in M$. So our proof is completed.

Theorem 5.2. There is no weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection n > 3, unless $\rho + \mu + v$ is everywhere zero, provided $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.

Proof. Assume that M is a weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Now taking $Z = \xi$ in (5.2) and using (3.2) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + \mu(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + \upsilon(\xi)[S(X,Y) + \alpha\{g(X,Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)\}].$$
(5.21)

Also we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y,\phi X) + \alpha g(X,\phi Y)].$$
(5.22)

Now equating (5.21) and (5.22), we obtain

$$\rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(Y) + \mu(X)(n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(X) + \upsilon(\xi)[S(X,Y) + \alpha\{g(X,Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)\}] = (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)(\nabla_{X}\eta)(Y) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y,\phi X) + \alpha g(X,\phi Y)].$$
(5.23)

Now putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (5.23), we find

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + \upsilon(\xi)] = 0.$$
(5.24)

As n > 3 and $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, So

$$\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + \upsilon(\xi) = 0. \tag{5.25}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ in (5.23), we find

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y)[\rho(\xi) + \upsilon(\xi)] + \mu(Y)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) = 0.$$
 (5.26)

So in view of (5.25), the above equation turns into

$$-\eta(Y)\mu(\xi) = \mu(Y).$$
 (5.27)

Similarly in (5.23), taking $Y = \xi$, we find

$$-\rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X)[\mu(\xi)(n-1) + \upsilon(\xi)] = 0.$$
 (5.28)

So in view of (5.25), we get finally

$$\rho(X) = -\rho(\xi)\eta(X). \tag{5.29}$$

Since $(\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi}\tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = 0$, then from (5.2), we get

$$[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi)]\eta(X) = v(X), \tag{5.30}$$

that is

$$-\upsilon(\xi)\eta(X) = \upsilon(X). \tag{5.31}$$

Thus replacing Y with X in (5.27) and then summing of the equations (5.27), (5.29) and (5.31) we get

$$\rho(X) + \mu(X) + \upsilon(X) = -\eta(X)[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + \upsilon(\xi)].$$
(5.32)

From the equation (5.25), it is clear that

$$\rho(X) + \mu(X) + \upsilon(X) = 0 \tag{5.33}$$

for any vector field X holds on M, which means that

$$\rho + \mu + \upsilon = 0.$$

Hence our proof is completed.

6 On semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is called a semi-generalized recurrent manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z, W)Y,$$
(6.1)

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5) for any vector field and $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the operator of covarient differentiation with respect to the metric.

Taking $Y = W = \xi$ in (6.1), we have

$$(\nabla_X \hat{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)\hat{R}(\xi, Z)\xi + \beta_1(X)g(Z,\xi)\xi.$$
(6.2)

From (4.5), the left hand side of (6.2) can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = X\tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi - \tilde{R}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi.$$
(6.3)

Now using (2.6), (2.16), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.11), the right hand site of the equation (6.3) becomes

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = -(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)\eta(Z)\phi X - (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z)\phi X.$$
(6.4)

Now using (3.11), the right hand side of (6.2) can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[Z + \eta(Z)\xi] + \beta_1(X)\eta(Z)\xi.$$
(6.5)

Now from (6.4) and (6.5), we have

$$-(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)\eta(Z)\phi X - (\alpha^{2} - \alpha)\eta(Z)\phi X$$

$$= \alpha_{1}(X)(\alpha^{2} - \alpha)[Z + \eta(Z)\xi]$$

$$+ \beta_{1}(X)\eta(Z)\xi.$$
(6.6)

Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (6.6), we obtain

$$(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + \alpha\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta_1(X)\xi, \qquad (6.7)$$

that is

$$\alpha^2 \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta_1(X)\xi. \tag{6.8}$$

Hence we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. If a semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits quarter-symmetric metric connection, the associated vector field ξ is not constant and $\nabla_X \xi$ is parallel to ξ , provided $\alpha \neq 0$.

Permutting equation (6.1) with respect to X, Y, Z and adding the three equations and using Bianchi identity, we have

$$\alpha_1(X)R(Y,Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z,W)Y + \alpha_1(Y)R(Z,X)W + \beta_1(Y)g(X,W)Z + \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{R}(X,Y)W + \beta_1(Z)g(Y,W)X = 0.$$
(6.9)

Contracting (6.9) with respect to Y, we get

$$\alpha_1(X)\hat{S}(Z,W) + n\beta_1(X)g(Z,W) + \hat{R}'(Z,X,W,A) + \beta_1(Z)g(X,W) - \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{S}(X,W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X,W) = 0.$$
(6.10)

In view of $\tilde{S}(Z,W)=g(\tilde{Q}Z,W),$ the equation (6.10) becomes

$$\alpha_1(X)g(\tilde{Q}Z,W) + n\beta_1(X)g(Z,W) - g(\tilde{R}(Z,X)A,W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X,W) - \alpha_1(Z)g(\tilde{Q}X,W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X,W) = 0.$$
(6.11)

From (6.11), we have

~

$$\alpha_1(X)QZ + n\beta_1(X)Z - R(Z,X)A + \beta_1(Z)X - \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{Q}X + \beta_1(Z)X = 0.$$
(6.12)

Contracting (6.12) with respect to Z, we obtain

$$\alpha_1(X)\tilde{r} + (n^2 + 2)\beta_1(X) - 2\tilde{S}(X, A) = 0.$$
(6.13)

Putting $X = \xi$ in (6.13), we get

$$\eta(A)\tilde{r} + (n^2 + 2)\eta(B) - 2(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(A) = 0,$$
(6.14)

that is

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{\eta(A)} [2(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(A) - (n^2 + 2)\eta(B)],$$
(6.15)

where \tilde{r} is the scalar curvature with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Hence we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.2. The scalar curvature of a semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is related in terms of contact forms $\eta(A)$ and $\eta(B)$ as given by (6.15).

7 On semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is called a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z),$$
(7.1)

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

Taking $Z = \xi$ in (7.1), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y,\xi) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y,\xi).$$
(7.2)

The left hand side of (7.2), clearly can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y,\xi) = X \tilde{S}(Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y,\xi) - \tilde{S}(Y,\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi).$$
(7.3)

Using (3.2) and (3.7), the right hand site of the equation (7.3) becomes

$$-\tilde{S}(Y,\tilde{\nabla}_X\xi) + (n-1)\alpha(\alpha^2 - \alpha)g(\phi X, Y).$$
(7.4)

The right hand site of (7.2) can be written as using (3.7)

$$\alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + n\beta_1(X)\eta(Y).$$
(7.5)

From (7.4) and (7.5), we get

$$\tilde{S}(Y,\tilde{\nabla}_X\xi) + (n-1)\alpha(\alpha^2 - \alpha)g(\phi X,Y) = \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + n\beta_1(X)\eta(Y).$$
(7.6)

Now putting $Y = \xi$ in (7.6), we obtain

$$\alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + n\beta_1(X) = 0, \tag{7.7}$$

that is

$$\alpha_1(X) = -\frac{n}{(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)}\beta_1(X).$$
(7.8)

This leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. If a semi-generalized Rici-Recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits a quarter-symmetric metric connection, then

$$\alpha_1(X) = -\frac{n}{(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)}\beta_1(X)$$

holds, that is, the 1-form α_1 and β_1 are in opposite direction.

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n,g) with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor \tilde{S} is of the form

$$\tilde{S}(X,Y) = kg(X,Y),\tag{7.9}$$

where k is constant. For an Einstein manifold,

 $(\tilde{\nabla}_U \tilde{S}) = 0$

 $\forall U \in \chi(M)$. From (7.1), we have

$$[k\alpha_1(X) + n\beta_1(X)]g(Y,Z) + [k\alpha_1(y) + n\beta_1(y)]g(Z,X) + [k\alpha_1(Z) + n\beta_1(Z)]g(X,Y) = 0.$$
(7.10)

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (7.10) and using (1.5) and (2.4), we obtain

$$[k\alpha_1(X) + n\beta_1(X)]\eta(Y) + [k\alpha_1(y) + n\beta_1(y)]\eta(X) + [k\alpha_1(Z) + n\beta_1(Z)]g(X,Y) = 0.$$
(7.11)

Now putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (7.11) and using (1.5), (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$k\eta(A) + n\eta(B) = 0, \tag{7.12}$$

that is

$$\eta(A) = -\frac{n}{k}\eta(B). \tag{7.13}$$

Using (1.5) and (2.4) in the above relation, we have

$$\alpha_1(\xi) = -\frac{n}{k}\beta_1(\xi). \tag{7.14}$$

So, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 7.2. If a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is an Einstein manifold, then the contact form $\eta(A)$ and $\eta(B)$ and the 1-form α_1 and β_1 are both in opposite direction.

8 Example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

We consider a 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, u) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where (x, y, u) are the standard coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the vector fields on M^3 given by

$$e_1 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ e_2 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_3 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Clearly, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors for each point of M and hence a basis of $\chi(M)$. The Lorentzian metric g is defined by

$$g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_3) = 0,$$

 $g(e_1, e_1) = 1, \quad g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$ and the (1, 1) tensor field ϕ is defined by

$$\phi e_1 = e_1, \ \phi e_2 = e_2, \ \phi e_3 = 0.$$

From the linearity of ϕ and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any $X \in \chi(M)$. Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \ [e_1, e_3] = e_1 e^{-u}, \ [e_2, e_3] = e_2 e^{-u}.$$

Koszul's formula is defined by

$$\begin{split} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ &-g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]). \end{split}$$

Then from above formula we can calculate the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= e_1 e^{-u}, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= e_2 e^{-u}, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above calculations, we see that the manifold under consideration satisfies $\eta(\xi) = -1$ and $\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \phi X$ for $\alpha = e^{-u}$.

Hence the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. Using (2.16), we find $\tilde{\nabla}$, the quarter-symmetric metric connection on M following:

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= e_3 e^{-u}, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= e_1 (e^{-u} - 1), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 &= e_3 e^{-u}, \ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 &= e_2 (e^{-u} - 1), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 &= 0, \ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, \ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 &= 0. \end{split}$$

Using (1.2), the torson tensor T, with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{T}(e_i, e_i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3,$$

 $\tilde{T}(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad \tilde{T}(e_1, e_3) = -e_1, \quad \tilde{T}(e_2, e_3) = -e_2.$

Also,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}g)(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}g)(e_3, e_1) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_3}g)(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Thus M is Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Acknowledgement The authors wish to express their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- Chaki, M. C.: On pseudo symmetric manifolds. An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Sect. I a Mat. 33, 1 (1987), 53–58.
- [2] Chaki, M. C.: On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds. Bulgar. J. Phys. 15, 6 (1988), 526-531.
- [3] Chaki, M. C.: Some theorems on recurrent and Ricci-recurrent spaces. Rend. Sem. Math. Delta Univ. Padova 26 (1956), 168–176.
- [4] Deszcz, R., Kowalczyk, D.: On some class of pseudosymmetric warped products. Colloq. Math. 97, 1 (2003), 7–22.

- [5] Friedmann, A., Schouten, J. A.: Uber die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Ubertragung. Math. Zeitschr. 21 (1924), 211–223.
- [6] Golab, S.: On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections. Tensor, N.S. 29 (1975), 249–254.
- [7] Hayden, H. A.: Subspaces of a space with torsion. Proc. London Math. Soc. 34 (1932), 27–50.
- [8] Mishra, R. S., Pandey, S. N.: On quarter-symmetric metric F-connection. Tensor, N.S. 34 (1980), 1–7.
- [9] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic Ricci mappings of two-symmetric Riemann spaces. Math. Notes 28 (1981), 622–624.
- [10] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces. J. Math. Sci. 78, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [11] Mikeš, J., Vanžurová, A., Hinterleitner, I.: Geodesic mappings and some generalizations. *Palacký University*, Olomouc, 2009.
- [12] Mikeš et al., J.: Differential geometry of special mappings. Palacky University, Olomouc, 2015.
- [13] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of special Riemannian spaces. Topics in differential geometry, Vol. II, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Debrecen 46 (1984), North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [14] Mikeš, J., Rachůnek, L.: Torse-forming vector fields in T-semisymmetric Riemannian spaces. In: Steps in Differential Geometry. Proc. Colloq. Diff. Geometry, Univ. Debrecen, Inst. Math. and Inf., Debrecen, 2001, 219–229.
- [15] Mikeš, J., Rachůnek, L.: T-semisymmetric spaces and concircular vector fields. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Suppl. 69 (2002), 187–193.
- [16] Mukhopadhyay, S., Roy, A. K., Barua, B.: Some properties of a quartersymmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. Soochow J. Math. 17 (1991), 205–211.
- [17] Patterson, K. M.: Some theorem on Ricci-recurrent spaces. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 287–295.
- [18] Prakash, N.: A note on Ricci-recurrent and recurrent spaces. Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society 54 (1962), 1–7.
- [19] Prasad, B.: On semi-generalized recurrent manifold. Mathematica Balkanica, New series 14 (2000), 77–82.
- [20] Prakashs, D. G., Bagewadi, C. S., Basavarajappa, N. S.: On pseudosymmetric Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. IJPAM 48, 1 (2008), 57–65.
- [21] Khan, Q.: On generalized recurrent Sasakian manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 44 (2004), 167–172.
- [22] Rastogi, S. C.: On quarter-symmetric connection. C. R. Acad. Sci. Bulgar 31 (1978), 811–814.
- [23] Rastogi, S. C.: On quarter-symmetric metric connection. Tensor 44 (1987), 133-141.
- [24] Ruse, H. S.: A classification of K^{*}-spaces. London Math. Soc. 53 (1951), 212–229.
- [25] Rachůnek, L., Mikeš, J.: On tensor fields semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields. Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. Rer. Nat., Math. 44 (2005), 151–160.
- [26] Sular, S.: Some properties of a Kenmotsu manifold with a semi symmetric metric connection. Int. Electronic J. Geom. 3 (2010), 24–34.
- [27] Tamassy, L., Binh, T. Q.: On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds. Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 56 (1992), 663–670.
- [28] Tamassy, L., Binh, T. Q.: On weak symmetries of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds. Tensor, N.S. 53 (1993), 140–148.

- [29] Tripathi, M. M.: On a semi-symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold. J. Pure Math. 16 (1999), 67–71.
- [30] Tripathi, M. M.: A new connection in a Riemannian manifold. Int. Electronic J. Geom. 1 (2008), 15–24.
- [31] Tripathi, M. M., Nakkar, N.: On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 16, 4 (2001), 323–330.
- [32] Yano, K.: On semi-symmetric metric connections. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 15 (1970), 1579–1586.
- [33] Yano, K., Imai, T.: Quarter-symmetric metric connections and their curvature tensors. Tensor, N.S. 38 (1982), 13–18.
- [34] Yadav, S., Suthar, D. L.: Certain derivation on Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Mathematics and Decision Science 12, 2 (2012), 1–6.
- [35] Yamaguchi, S., Matsumoto, M.: On Ricci-recurrent spaces. Tensor, N.S. 19 (1968), 64–68.
- [36] Yildiz, A., Murathan, C.: On Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Kyungpook Math. J. 45 (2005), 95–103.
- [37] Yildiz, A., Turan, M., Acet, B. F.: On three dimensional Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 1, 3 (2009), 90–98.