# Conformal Ricci Soliton in Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian Manifolds

Tamalika DUTTA $^{1a\,*},$ Nirabhra BASU $^2,$  Arindam BHATTACHARYYA $^{1b}$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India <sup>a</sup> e-mail: tamalika.bagnan@gmail.com <sup>b</sup> e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Bhowanipur Education Society College, Kolkata-700020, India e-mail: nirabhra.basu@hotmail.com

(Received February 4, 2016)

#### Abstract

In this paper we have studied conformal curvature tensor, conharmonic curvature tensor, projective curvature tensor in Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds admitting conformal Ricci soliton. We have found that a Weyl conformally semi symmetric Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton is  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. We have also studied conharmonically Ricci symmetric Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton. Similarly we have proved that a Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold M with projective curvature tensor admitting conformal Ricci soliton is  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold. We have also established an example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold.

Key words: Conformal Ricci soliton, conformal curvature tensor, conharmonic curvature tensor, Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds, projective curvature tensor.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 53C44; Secondary 53D10, 53C25

## 1 Introduction

In 1982 Hamilton [11] introduced the concept of Ricci flow and proved its existence. This concept was developed to answer Thurston's geometric conjecture

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>Author is supported by 'DST Inspire fellowship' of India. Reference no: IF140748.

which says that each closed three manifold admits a geometric decomposition. Hamilton also [12] classified all compact manifolds with positive curvature operator in dimension four. Since then, the Ricci flow has become a powerful tool for the study of Riemannian manifolds, especially for those manifolds with positive curvature.

The Ricci flow equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S \tag{1.1}$$

on a compact Riemannian manifold M with Riemannian metric g. Ricci soliton emerges as the limit of the solutions of Ricci flow. A solution to the Ricci flow is called a Ricci soliton if it moves only by a one-parameter group of diffeomorphism and scaling. Ramesh Sharma [28] started the study of Ricci soliton in contact manifolds and after him M. M. Tripathi [31], Bejan, Crasmareanu [4] studied Ricci soliton in contact metric manifolds. The Ricci soliton equation is given by

$$\pounds_X g + 2S + 2\lambda g = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\pounds_X$  is the Lie derivative, S is Ricci tensor, g is Riemannian metric, X is a vector field and  $\lambda$  is a scalar. The  $\varphi$ - vector fields are special type Ricci soliton studied in [14, 15].

In 2005, A.E. Fischer [9] introduced a new concept called conformal Ricci flow which is a variation of the classical Ricci flow equation that modifies the unit volume constraint of that equation to a scalar curvature constraint. Since the conformal geometry plays an important role to constrain the scalar curvature and the equations are the vector field sum of a conformal flow equation and a Ricci flow equation, the resulting equations are named as the conformal Ricci flow equations. These new equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + 2\left(S + \frac{g}{n}\right) = -pg \tag{1.3}$$

and R(g) = -1, where p is a scalar non-dynamical field(time dependent scalar field), R(g) is the scalar curvature of the manifold and n is the dimension of manifold.

In 2015, N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya [3] introduced the notion of conformal Ricci soliton and the equation is as follows

$$\pounds_X g + 2S = \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n}\right)\right]g. \tag{1.4}$$

The equation is the generalization of the Ricci soliton equation and it also satisfies the conformal Ricci flow equation.

A Riemannian manifold is said to be locally symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies  $\nabla R = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is Levi-Civita connection on the Riemannian manifold. As a generalization of locally symmetric spaces, many geometers have considered semi-symmetric spaces and their generalization. A Riemannian manifold is said to be semi symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies R(X, Y).R = 0 for all  $X, Y \in TM$ , where R(X, Y) acts on R as a derivation. N. S. Sinyukov, J. Mikeš, I. Hinterleitner and others studied geodesic mappings of symmetric and semisymmetric spaces [29, 10, 18, 13, 19, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 16]. K. Sekigawa [27], Z. I. Szabo [30] studied Riemannian manifolds or hypersurfaces of such manifold satisfying the condition R(X,Y).R = 0 or condition similar to it. It is easy to see that R(X,Y).R = 0 implies R(X,Y).C = 0. So it is meaningful to undertake the study of manifolds satisfying such type of conditions.

#### 1.1 Definition of Einstein manifold

An Einstein manifold is a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold with Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric. If M is the underlying *n*-dimensional manifold and g is its metric tensor then the Einstein condition means that

$$S(X,Y) = \lambda g(X,Y),$$

for some constant  $\lambda$ , where S denotes the Ricci tensor of g. Einstein manifolds with  $\lambda = 0$  are called Ricci-flat manifolds.

#### **1.2** Definition of $\eta$ -Einstein manifold

A trans-Sasakian manifold  $M^n$  is said to be  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where a, b are smooth functions.

#### 2 Basic concepts of Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifolds

A differentiable manifold of dimension (2n+1) is called Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold [1] if it admits a (1, 1) tensor field  $\varphi$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and 1-form  $\eta$  and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy on M respectively such that

$$\varphi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \varphi X, \quad (\nabla_X \eta) Y = \alpha g(\varphi X, Y), \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g on M. Geometry of Sasakian spaces was studied in [21, 20, 26, 19].

On an Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold M the following relations hold [1]:

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.4)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \qquad (2.5)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n\alpha^2 \eta(X), \tag{2.6}$$

$$Q\xi = 2n\alpha^2\xi,\tag{2.7}$$

$$S(\xi,\xi) = -2n\alpha^2, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\alpha$  is some constant, R is the Riemannian curvature, S is the Ricci tensor and Q is the Ricci operator given by S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) for all  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ . Now from definition of Lie derivative we have

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = (\nabla_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + g(\alpha\varphi X,Y) + g(X,\alpha\varphi Y)$$
  
=  $2\alpha g(\varphi X,Y), \ [::g(X,\varphi Y) = g(\varphi X,Y)].$  (2.9)

Applying (2.9) in (1.4) we get

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2\lambda - \left( p + \frac{2}{n} \right) \right] g(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y)$$
  
=  $Ag(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y),$  (2.10)

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2\lambda - \left( p + \frac{2}{n} \right) \right]$$

Since S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) for the Ricci operator Q, we have

$$g(QX,Y) = Ag(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y)$$

i.e.

$$QX = AX - \alpha \varphi X, \quad \forall Y. \tag{2.11}$$

Also

$$S(Y,\xi) = A\eta(Y), \quad S(\xi,\xi) = -A, \quad Q\xi = A\xi.$$
 (2.12)

If we put  $X = Y = e_i$  in (2.10), where  $\{e_i\}$  is orthonormal basis of the tangent space TM where TM is a tangent bundle of M and summing over i, we get

$$R(g) = An - \alpha g(\varphi e_i, e_i)$$

As R = -1, we have

$$-1 = An - \alpha.(\operatorname{tr} \varphi)$$
 i.e.  $A = \frac{1}{n}(\alpha.(\operatorname{tr} \varphi) - 1).$ 

## 2.1 Example of a 3-dimensional Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold

In this section we construct an example of a 3-dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold. To construct this, we consider the three dimensional manifold  $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z \neq 0\}$  where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The vector fields

$$e_1 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = -e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Lorentzian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = 1, \quad g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1,$$
  
 $g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_3, e_1) = 0.$ 

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form which satisfies the relation  $\eta(e_3) = -1$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by  $\varphi(e_1) = -e_1$ ,  $\varphi(e_2) = -e_2$ ,  $\varphi(e_3) = 0$ . Then we have

$$\varphi^2(Z) = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$
  
$$g(\varphi Z, \varphi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any  $Z, W \in \chi(M^3)$ . Thus for  $e_3 = \xi$ ,  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact metric structure on M. Now, after calculating we have

$$[e_1, e_3] = -e^{-z}e_1, \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e^{-z}e_2.$$

The Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  of the metric is given by the Koszul's formula which is

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) -g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$
(2.13)

By Koszul's formula we get

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e^{-z} e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0,$$
  
$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e^{-z} e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0,$$
  
$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e^{-z} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e^{-z} e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0$$

From the above we have found that  $\alpha = e^{-z}$  and it can be easily shown that  $M^3(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold.

## **3** Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton  $(g, V, \lambda)$ . The conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$  on M is defined by [2]

~

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY] + \frac{R}{2n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y], \quad (3.1)$$

where R is scalar curvature.

Now we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** If a Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admits conformal Ricci soliton and is Weyl conformally semi summetric i.e.  $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$ , then the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold where  $\tilde{C}$  is Conformal curvature tensor and  $R(\xi, X)$  is derivation of tensor algebra of the tangent space of the manifold.

*Proof.* Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton  $(g, V, \lambda)$ . So we have R = -1 [9].

After putting R = -1 and  $Z = \xi$  in (3.1) we have

$$C(X,Y)\xi = R(X,Y)\xi - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,\xi)X - S(X,\xi)Y + g(Y,\xi)QX - g(X,\xi)QY] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(Y,\xi)X - g(X,\xi)Y].$$
 (3.2)

Using (2.2), (2.4), (2.11) and (2.12) in (3.2) we get

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi$$

$$= \alpha^{2}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[A\eta(Y)X - A\eta(X)Y$$

$$+ \eta(Y)(AX - \alpha\varphi X) - \eta(X)(AY - \alpha\varphi Y)] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$
(3.3)

Using (3.1) and after a brief simplification we obtain

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi = \left[\alpha^2 - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right](\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y).$$
(3.4)

Considering

$$B = \alpha^2 - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)},$$

(3.4) becomes

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi = B[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]$$
(3.5)

and

$$g(\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi,Z) = B[\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)],$$

which implies

$$-\eta(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z) = B[\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)].$$
(3.6)

Now we consider that the Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold M admits conformal Ricci soliton and is Weyl conformally semi symmetric i.e.  $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$  holds in M (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space  $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$  [32]), which implies

$$R(\xi, X)(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)W) - \tilde{C}(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W = 0,$$
(3.7)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M.

Using (2.5) in (3.7) and putting  $W = \xi$  we get

$$g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi)\xi - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi)X - g(X, Y)\tilde{C}(\xi, Z)\xi + \eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X, Z)\xi - g(X, Z)\tilde{C}(Y, \xi)\xi + \eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y, X)\xi - g(X, \xi)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi + \eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)X = 0.$$
(3.8)

Taking inner product with  $\xi$  in (3.8) and using (2.1) we obtain

$$-g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) - g(X, Y)\eta(\tilde{C}(\xi, Z)\xi) + \eta(Y)\eta(\tilde{C}(X, Z)\xi) - g(X, Z)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, \xi)\xi) + \eta(Z)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, X)\xi) - \eta(X)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)X) = 0.$$
(3.9)

Using (3.5) in (3.9) we have

$$-B\eta(Z)g(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X) = 0.$$
(3.10)

Putting  $Z = \xi$  in (3.10) and using (2.1) we get

$$Bg(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X) = 0.$$
(3.11)

Now from (3.1) we can write

$$\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X = R(Y,\xi)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(\xi,X)Y - S(Y,X)\xi + g(\xi,X)QY - g(Y,X)Q\xi] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(\xi,X)Y - g(Y,X)\xi]. \quad (3.12)$$

Taking inner product with  $\xi$  and using (2.1), (2.5), (2.12) in (3.12) we get

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X) = \alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y) + \alpha^2 g(X,Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}g(X,Y).$$
(3.13)

After putting (3.13) in (3.11) the equation reduces to

$$Bg(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y) - \alpha^2 g(X,Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}g(X,Y) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Simplifying (3.14) we have

$$g(X,Y)\left[B - \alpha^2 + \frac{A}{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\left[B - \alpha^2 + \frac{2A}{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

which can be written in the form

$$S(X,Y) = \rho g(X,Y) + \sigma \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad (3.16)$$

where

$$\rho = (2n-1)\left(\alpha^2 - B - \frac{A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right)$$

and

$$\sigma = (2n-1)\left(\alpha^2 - B - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right)$$

So from (3.16) we conclude that the manifold becomes  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.  $\Box$ 

## 4 Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $K(\xi, X).S = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton  $(g, V, \lambda)$ . The conharmonic curvature tensor K on M is defined by [8]

$$K(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY].$$
(4.1)

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$ , R is the curvature tensor and Q is the Ricci operator. Now we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** If a Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admits conformal Ricci soliton and the manifold is conharmonically Ricci symmetric i.e.  $K(\xi, X).S = 0$ then the Ricci operator Q satisfies the quadratic equation  $FQ^2 + Q - D = 0$  for all  $X \in \chi(M)$  where F, D are constants, K is conharmonic curvature tensor and S is a Ricci tensor. *Proof.* Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton  $(g, V, \lambda)$ . From (4.1) we can write

$$K(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y - \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(X, Y)\xi - S(\xi, Y)X + g(X, Y)Q\xi - g(\xi, Y)QX].$$
(4.2)

Using (2.5), (2.12) in (4.2) we have

$$K(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Y)\xi - A\eta(Y)X + Ag(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)QX].$$
(4.3)

Similarly from (4.2) we get

$$K(\xi, X)Z = R(\xi, X)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Z)\xi - S(\xi, Z)X + g(X, Z)Q\xi - g(\xi, Z)QX] = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Z)\xi - A\eta(Z)X + Ag(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)QX]. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we consider that the tensor derivative of S by  $K(\xi, X)$  is zero i.e.  $K(\xi, X).S = 0$ . Then the Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton is conharmonically Ricci symmetric (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space  $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$  [32]). It gives

$$S(K(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, K(\xi, X)Z) = 0.$$
(4.5)

Using (4.3) and (4.4) in (4.5) we get

$$S(\alpha^{2}g(X,Y)\xi - \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y)\xi + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Y)X - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y)\xi + \frac{\eta(Y)}{2n-1}QX,Z) + S(\alpha^{2}g(X,Z)\xi - \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Z)\xi + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Z)X - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Z)\xi + \frac{\eta(Z)}{2n-1}QX,Y) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Putting  $Z = \xi$  and using (2.1), (2.12) in (4.6) we get

$$\left(\frac{A^2}{2n-1} - A\alpha^2\right)g(X,Y) + \alpha^2 S(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(QX,Y) = 0$$

which implies

$$Eg(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(QX,Y) = -\alpha^2 S(X,Y),$$
(4.7)

where  $E = \frac{A^2}{2n-1} - A\alpha^2$ .

From (4.7) we can write

$$S(X,Y) = Dg(X,Y) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2(2n-1)}S(QX,Y),$$
(4.8)

where  $D = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} E$ , which implies

$$QX = DX - FQ^2X \quad \forall Y \in \chi(M), \tag{4.9}$$

where  $F = \frac{1}{\alpha^2(2n-1)}$ , i.e.

$$FQ^2 + Q - D = 0 \quad \forall X. \tag{4.10}$$

## 5 Lorentzian $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton  $(g, V, \lambda)$ . The Weyl projective curvature tensor P on M is given by [2]

$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y].$$

Now we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.** If a Lorentzian  $\alpha$ -Sasakian manifold M admits conformal Ricci soliton and  $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$  holds, then the manifold becomes  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold, where P is projective curvature tensor and  $\tilde{C}$  is conformal curvature tensor.

*Proof.* We know from (3.1) that

$$\tilde{C}(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y 
- \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(X,Y)\xi - S(\xi,Y)X + g(X,Y)Q\xi - g(\xi,Y)QX] 
- \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} [g(X,Y)\xi - g(\xi,Y)X],$$
(5.1)

since for conformal Ricci soliton the scalar curvature R = -1 [9].

66

From (2.5), (2.12) and taking inner product with  $\xi$  on (5.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \eta(\tilde{C}(\xi,X)Y) &= \alpha^2 g(X,Y)\eta(\xi) - \alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(X) \\ &- \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y)\eta(\xi) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(\xi)g(X,Y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n-1}\eta(Y)\eta(QX) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(X,Y)\eta(\xi) - \eta(Y)\eta(X)] \\ &= g(X,Y)\left[\frac{A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] \\ &+ \eta(Y)\eta(X)\left[\frac{2A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) = Fg(X,Y) + G\eta(Y)\eta(X) + TS(X,Y), \end{split}$$

where

$$F = \frac{A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)},$$
$$G = \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}$$

and

$$T = \frac{1}{2n-1}$$

Also

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi) = B[\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)] = 0$$

and

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi) = B[\eta(Y)\eta(\xi) - \eta(\xi)\eta(Y)] = 0.$$

Now

$$P(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y - \frac{1}{2n}[S(X, Y)\xi - S(\xi, Y)X].$$
(5.2)

Using (2.5), (2.12) in (5.2) we get

$$P(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^2 [g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - \frac{1}{2n} [S(X, Y)\xi - A\eta(Y)X].$$
(5.3)

Here we consider that the tensor derivative of  $\tilde{C}$  by  $P(\xi, X)$  is zero i.e. conformally symmetric with respect to projective curvature tensor i.e.  $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$  holds (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space  $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$  [32]). So

$$P(\xi, X)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(P(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, P(\xi, X)Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, Z)P(\xi, X)W = 0,$$
(5.4)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M.

Using (5.3) in (5.4) and putting  $W = \xi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2}g(X,\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)\xi &- \alpha^{2}\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)X \\ &- \frac{1}{2n}S(X,\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)\xi + \frac{A}{2n}\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)X - \alpha^{2}g(X,Y)\tilde{C}(\xi,Z)\xi \\ &+ \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X,Z)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}S(X,Y)\tilde{C}(\xi,Z)\xi - \frac{A}{2n}\eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X,Z)\xi \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(X,Z)\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi + \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y,X)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}S(X,Z)\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi \\ &- \frac{A}{2n}\eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y,X)\xi - \alpha^{2}g(X,\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi + \alpha^{2}\eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n}S(X,\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi - \frac{A}{2n}\eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(5.5)

Taking inner product with  $\xi$  on (5.5) we get

$$-\alpha^2 g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) + \frac{1}{2n} S(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) = 0.$$
(5.6)

From (3.2) and (5.6) we have

$$-\alpha^{2}B\eta(Z)g(X,Y) + \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)Bg(X,Z) + \frac{B}{2n}\eta(Z)S(X,Y) - \frac{B}{2n}\eta(Y)S(X,Z) = 0.$$
(5.7)

Putting  $z = \xi$  in (5.7) and using (2.1), (2.12) we obtain

$$\alpha^2 Bg(X,Y) + B\alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(X) - \frac{B}{2n}S(X,Y) - \frac{AB}{2n}\eta(Y)\eta(X) = 0,$$

which implies

$$S(X,Y) = 2n\alpha^2 g(X,Y) + 2n(\alpha^2 - \frac{A}{2n})\eta(Y)\eta(X).$$
 (5.8)

So the manifold becomes  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to honorable referee for valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

#### References

- Bagewadi, C. S., Ingalahalli, G.: Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis 28 (2012), 59–68.
- Bagewadi, C. S., :, Venkatesha Some curvature tensors on a trans-Sasakian manifold. Turk. J. Math. 31 (2007), 111–121.
- [3] Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold. Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometries 4 (2015), 15–21.
- [4] Bejan, C. L., Crasmareanu, M.: Ricci solitons in manifolds with quasi-constant curvature. Publ. Math. Debrecen 78, 1 (2011), 235–243.

- [5] Dutta, T., Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Some curvature identities on an almost conformal gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories 13, 2 (2015), 163–178.
- [6] Dutta, T., Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Almost conformal Ricci solitons on 3dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 45, 5 (2016), 1379–1392.
- [7] Dutta, T., Bhattacharyya, A., Debnath, S.: Conformal Ricci soliton in almost C(λ) manifold. Internat. J. Math. Combin. 3 (2016), 17–26.
- [8] Dwivedi, M. K., Kim, J.-S.: On conharmonic curvature tensor in K-contact and Sasakian manifolds. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society 34, 1 (2011), 171–180.
- [9] Fischer, A. E.: An introduction to conformal Ricci flow. class. Quantum Grav. 21 (2004), S171–S218.
- [10] Formella, S., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces. Ann. Sci. Stetinenses 9 (1994), 31–40.
- [11] Hamilton, R. S.: Three Manifold with positive Ricci curvature. J. Differential Geom. 17, 2 (1982), 255–306.
- [12] Hamilton, R. S.: The Ricci flow on surfaces. Contemporary Mathematics 71 (1988), 237–261.
- [13] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings and Einstein spaces. In: Geometric Methods in Physics, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2013, 331–335.
- [14] Hinterleitner, I., Kiosak, V.: φ(Ric)-vektor fields in Riemannian spaces. Arch. Math. 5 (2008), 385–390.
- [15] Hinterleitner, I., Kiosak, V.: φ(Ric)-vector fields on conformally flat spaces. AIP Conf. Proc. 1191 (2009), 98–103.
- [16] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings onto Weyl manifolds. J. Appl. Math. 2, 1 (2009), 125–133; In: Proc. 8th Int. Conf. on Appl. Math. (APLIMAT 2009), Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava, 2009, 423–430.
- [17] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of semisymmetric Riemannian spaces. Archives at VINITI, Odessk. Univ., Moscow, 1976.
- [18] Mikeš, J., Vanžurová, A., Hinterleitner, I.: Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations. *Palacky Univ. Press*, Olomouc, 2009.
- [19] Mikeš, J. et al.: Differential Geometry of Special Mappings. Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc, 2015.
- [20] Mikeš, J., Starko, G. A.: On hyperbolically Sasakian and equidistant hyperbolically Kählerian spaces. Ukr. Geom. Sb. 32 (1989), 92–98.
- [21] Mikeš, J.: On Sasaki spaces and equidistant Kähler spaces. Sov. Math., Dokl. 34 (1987), 428–431.
- [22] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces. J. Math. Sci. 78, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [23] Mikeš, J.: On geodesic mappings of 2-Ricci symmetric Riemannian spaces. Math. Notes 28 (1981), 622–624; Transl. from: Mat. Zametki 28 (1980), 313–317.
- [24] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of m-symmetric and generalized semisymmetric spaces. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) 36, 8 (1992), 38–42.
- [25] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings on semisymmetric spaces. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) 38, 2 (1994), 35–41.
- [26] Mikeš, J.: Equidistant Kähler spaces. Math. Notes 38 (1985), 855–858.
- [27] Sekigawa, K.: Almost Hermitian manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions. Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), 384–405.

- [28] Sharma, R.: Almost Ricci solitons and K-contact geometry. Monatsh. Math. 175 (2014), 621–628.
- [29] Sinyukov, N. S.: Geodesic Mappings of Riemannian Spaces. Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [30] Szabo, Z. I.: Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying R(X, Y).R = 0, The local version. J. Diff. Geom. 17 (1982), 531–582.
- [31] Tripathi, M. M.: Ricci solitons in contact metric manifolds. arXiv:0801,4222v1 [mathDG] 2008 (2008), 1–6.
- [32] Yadav, S., Suthar, D. L.: Certain derivation on Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifold. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences 12, 2 (2012), 1–6.